8

Sectt. II-27—45,004—14-11-29.

Law (Edn) (1930) Department.

Mis. SERIES.

G.O. No. 300

DATED 21-2-1930

[Despatch Abstract.]

SUBSIDY

Taluk Board, Udipi - Raising the status of a girls' school - Declined.

EDUCATIONAL **DEPARTMENT.**

22 600 A
6 2 30

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

Subject.

Subsidy - raising to the status of a Higher Elementary Grade-Girls' School, Barkur - Taluk Board, Udipi.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R.C.No54-B/30.

Station Madras, *Dated the* 29th January, 19 30.

From (Name) W.E.SMITH, Esq., M.A.,
(Designation) Ag:DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
LAW AND EDUCATION Department.

~~Sir,~~

READ:- Letter R.O.C.No.2751/29 dated 30-12-29 from the President, Taluk Board, Udipi.

Submitted.

2. The President's request is not supported. Attention is solicited in this connection to G.O.No.1317 Law (Education) dated 30-6-27.

(2)

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.3/2.

A/3/v

C.F. 30.

DEPARTMENT (2)

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

Subject.

Elementary Education Girls' school, Barkur - Raising to the status of a Higher Elementary Grade - Government subsidy - Application.

SOUTH KANARA DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE * MANGALORE
C.88-98/503/30
8 JAN 1930
III C

ENCLOSURES.
No. None only
.....
Spare copies .....

Reference No. 2701/29 E.T.B.

Station Udipi., *Dated the* 30-12- 19 29.

From (Name) M.R.Ry H.Ramayya Shetty Avl.,
(Designation) President. Taluk Board. Udipi.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Law (Education) Department.

Through

Sir, The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Through

The President, District Board,
South Kanara.

Sir,

The public of Barkur pray that the present Board Lower Elementary Girls' school of the locality may be raised to the status of a Higher Elementary grade. The existing Girls' school imparts education only up to V standard. The Girls on completing their course in the school, will either go to the local Higher Elementary

Boys school or discontinue their studies for want of a Higher Elementary school specially intended for them. The girls now attending the boys school are few as their natural modesty does not permit them to mix freely with the grown-up boys in the boys school.

2. The Sub Assistant Inspectress of schools, Kanara Girls'Range, strongly recommends the opening of Higher Elementary classes in the school. My Board is of opinion that the school, if made Higher Elementary, will serve the needs of about six villages, and therefore desires to give effect to the recommendation of the Sub Assistant Inspectress, but the question is of funds. The Board, in spite of raising the education cess from 3 to 4½ pies, is not in a position to carry out the above proposal. I have therefore the honour to request that the Government may be pleased to help the Board by sanctioning a recurring subsidy for opening VI Standard this year, by the employment of a secondary grade trained teacher on Rs 35-1½-50-efficiency bar 5-55.

3. A copy of the Taluk Board resolution No. 1004/13-12-1929 is enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir.

Your most obedient servant,

5.1.30

President.

DJK/

11.1.30

Extract from the proceedings of the Taluk Board of Udipi, dated 13th December 1929.

Present.

M.R.Ry H.Ramayya Shetty Avl., President.

Doctor U.Ramachandra Rao, Vice President.

Rev. Father M.Minezes.

A.S.Karat Esquire B.A.L.T.,

M.R.Ry K.Sannaya Hande Avl.,

" U.Sadia Marakala Avl.,

" B.Krishnaya Hegde Avl.

" T.Chandaya Shetty Avl.,

" K.Narasimha Pai Avl

" Upendra Srinivasa Nayak Av

" A.Kalinga Hebbar Avl.,

" A.A.K.Ballal Avl.

" K.Muddanna Shetty Avl.

" K.Subbanna Hegde Avl.,

Muhammad Osman Saheb Bahadur B.A.LL.B.

1004. Read endorsement R.O.C.No. 496/K/3-11-1929 of the Sub Assistant Inspectress of schools.Kanara Girls' Range, recommending that the Board Girls' school at Barkur may be raised to the status of a Higher Elementary ~~grad~~ school

also this office file Dis.No. 3R/29 UTB dated 16-11-1929.,

Also T.B.Resolution No. 974/30-11-1929.

The Government may be addressed to provide subsidy to the Taluk Board for raising the status of the Girls' school to the Higher Elementary grade.

(sd) H.Ramayya Shetty
President

/True extract,

Udipi.

30-12-1929.

President,
Taluk Board, Udipi.

DJK/

N. Dis. No 98. 23.30

Endorsement by M. R. Ry Rao Bahadur B. Mahabala Hegde Avargal, B.A.B.L. President, District Board, South Kanara.

Submitted

Mangalore, 9. 1. 1930

President, District Board,
South Kanara.

9/1/30

DESPATCHED.
JAN. 1930

Scott: II-5 (a)—48,004—6-11-28. (6)

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

6

Edn DEPARTMENT

Subsidy

Taluk Board, Udipi

raising the status of a girl school - Declined.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated 192

" " " " ..... " 192

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 6004 From the DTB, Udipi No. 2754/29 dated 30-12-1929

" " ..... " " DPD " 54 B/30 " 29-1-1930

" " ..... " " ..... " 192

ORDER. No. 300, dated 21-2-30 192

Mio

The Govt. are unable to accede to the request of the Taluk Board, Udipi that it may be given a subsidy ~~for~~ for raising the girls' school at Barkur to the higher elementary grade

Vdn
Report to
Inspector
DMO 22/2/30

The precedent quoted gives no reasons for issue of that G.O. where is the reason in this regard ostensible?

AS, 11/2

DMO 10/2/30

AS 11/2.

AS 20/2.

The DTB, Udipi

DPI

With reference to the Dep. Secy's query overleaf, it is submitted that there is no general Govt. order on the subject. The Govt. do not subsidise local bodies for the ~~maintenance~~ opening of higher elementary schools or for raising the status of the existing schools to the higher elementary grade. Local bodies should meet the cost from their own funds. It has also recently been decided that the proceeds of the education tax and the equivalent Govt. contribution should not be utilised on the maintenance of higher elementary standards. - vide para 3 of the proceedings of a conference on pages 8-9 of Govt. Memo. No. 1980 Local Self Govt., dated 9.29. Provision has accordingly been made in the draft E.E. Bill.

(P)

Proviso to clause 31(2) of the Bill - Copy at (V)

No 2052.

7.2.30

This means I suppose that H E schools receive no support from Govt they seem to fall between two ~~stools~~ Elementary & Secondary It seems that something should be done about it'

AS
18/2

Submitted that no grants are paid by Govt to local bodies for maintenance of higher elementary schools. At present local bodies may maintain higher elementary schools from the Ed Fund. ~~When the Draft~~ If the Draft Ed Bill is passed into law, local bodies may still continue to maintain the existing higher elementary schools from the Ed fund but if they wish to ~~not~~ open new higher elementary schools or raise the existing lower elementary schools to the higher elementary grade, they will have to raise their contribution from General revenues as they would not be allowed to spend on such schools the proceeds of the education tax or the equivalent Govt contribution. ~~The question as to how local bodies could finance the opening of new higher elementary schools will have to be considered when the draft Ed Bill is passed into law~~

DEO
12/2/50

9

The question may perhaps be
raised at the time of the
E E ~~Act~~ Bill Conference

Anyway I will talk
Messrs Smith & Champion
about it

AS
20/2

~~Principles Bill Read~~
Cold

~~Mr Clay~~
discussed the question with
Mr Champion. He says that
H. Elem schools
~~Secondary schools~~ will
grow in number in
connection with the Central
schools he has proposed in
in his new scheme

AS
2/3